G. R. S. 58]

*Previous references.*

Mwz 448-09-1907
" 561-08-5804
" " 5807

*Later references.*

Mwz 715-11

File No. 539-10

Serial Nos. 142

1911

( Muzzoi )

Mwz 143-25-1-3

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 142

**Part B for** May 1912

---

SUBJECT.

State Contribution towards the Construction of a Temple dedicated to Sri Ramadevaru in Basavangudi, Bangalore City.

---

Table of contents

1 From Muthojee Rao Sinthe, Bangalore City. Petn. dt 1-3-11
Prays for a Govt grant towards the Construction of the above Temple

2. To The Dy Comr, Bangalore
" Comptroller to Govt.
Mr Muthojee Rao Sinthe
} No 2869-71 dt 23-5-12
Sanctions a grant of Rs 2000/-
from the State Grants of 1911-12 & 1912-13
in equal proportions

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

G.R.S. 11] 1900.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( Muzrai )

NOTES.

File No. 539-10

FILE HEADING.

State contribution towards the construction of Sri Rama Devaru temple adjoining the Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple in Basavangudi.

From

Muthojee Rao Sindhe, Bangalore city

No. nil Dated 1-3-11 Received 1-3-11

| Diary No. 1501. | Enclos. | Spare Copies | Serial No. 1 |
|---|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for a Govt grant-in-aid towards the construction of the above temple

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Under Secy's order on the petition

" new file.

To be considered with the applications from Districts. Manager to take care that no application is lost sight of" — Subd for orders

NOTES—First sheet 139) CSR 8/5/11.

GPB—15,000—24-4-09

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 539 of 1910-11

Serial No.

This has been noted in the list recd from the Bangalore Distt from which the statement of works requiring provision from 1911-12 State Grant in all the Districts Except Bangalore & Anekal has been recd (Vide file no. 99 of 10). A copy of the order passed in that file will be placed in this file and these papers recorded, if so approved.

RL
14/5/11

PS. On the file now be submitted when allotments are going to be made

RL
15/5/11

4 Resubmitted with notes in file no. 99 of 10 for personal orders.

RL
24.7.11

5 Under Secretary's remarks on Musoori file No. 99-10.

Subd to Second Councillor.

In Bangalore Dt. I would recommend the following:—

(1) × × ×

(2) Basavanagudi Ramadevaru Rs 500/- this

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 539 of 1910.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | this year |
| | × × × × × × |
| | (Sd) A Subrahmanya Ayya. 24-7-11. |
| 6 | Second Councillor's order.— I agree to the proposals. I believe a promise of a sort had been given to Basavanguḍi temple. I should prefer if possible to allot 1000 Rs this year. |
| | × × × × |
| | Init. H. V. N. 26-7-11. |
| 7 | Under Secy's order. Put up draft accordingly |
| | Init. AS. 27-7-1911. |
| 8 | Draft Subd. There does not seem to be a specific promise made to Mr. Muthoji Rao in the matter. The DC. has remarked in the file below that Rs 2500 may be awarded Muthoji Rao as Rs for 2000 Rs. |
| 9 | Draft order sanctg 2000 Rs from State grant, of out of which 1000 Rs to be paid this year, submd for approval & orders. |
| | 5.8.11 Let this draft be sent for signature. Let this draft in this Shakunavara Anjaneya Temple case be registered. Init. 14/8/11 |

× 448 of 09

Mrs. T. 561-8 pounds

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 191

Serial No.

11 Draft subd accordingly. The Malleswaram Anjaneyaswami temple file is also put up herewith for reference

x file O

cgl (139) 11/10/11 RLy 12.10.11.

12 Draft submitted to Dewan through Secretaries

248

13. Inspected this temple this morning. Work has been much improved. There can be no doubt that it will be completed. Papers

14 The money for this year viz, Rs 1000 has been received out of Government grant and is available

Ambatmaya

1/12/(3rd)

Keep file Councillor's return from Delhi

15 The draft order may be approved

Dewan

8/1/12 MR 9/1/12

Read 15/1/12

15/1/28 15.2.12

16 Submitted to Dewan

Ambatmaya

9/1/(3rd)

17 1 Is the order financially correct?

18 2 I doubt that we can afford to spend Rs. 1000 in this way on new institutions

NOTE.—Contd sheet

GP&S-5,000-26-6-11

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

Please circulate to the 2nd Councillor

Sub to 2nd Councillor

5 3 12

474
13.3.12

I am not for such subventions for new institutions except under very special circs.

2nd

the increase some promise seems to have been made should not exceed

A contribution of Rs 2000 may be given

197
14.3.12

I agree

Please put up an answer

13/3/12



GPB—55,000—27.4.08

1-04 16

G. R S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

mf File No. 5-39 of 19/0

Serial No.

Any query (1) - I don't recollect that the State Budget Memorandum (I have I suppose is meant) is applicable to such cases - Perhaps Mr Thomas may be consulted

Please consult Britt

CSB 28/3/12

(II) -

Rs. 1/1/5-

Hdms 887

Rec'd 2/4/12

Sd/- 4-0086 Dtd 19 25 2.4.12.

I do not know and ask the last time met out of the grants of 12 years is financially open to objection. Either the whole sum should be paid now or next year. Half will be paid now & the other half next year would not correct. If considered necessary an order sanctioning a grant of Rs 1,000 Rs may be issued now and another order sanctioning a further grant of Rs 1000 Rs next year

The heading in the budget is Construction and Repairs and these terms like no doubt enough to grant interest from it for Construction purposes.

Recd

Note — Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

7

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

987

2.] In the present financial condition of the state the grant for construction and Repairs should be reduced to the original figure of Rs 5000/- No grants for new buildings should be included the maintenance of the existing ones being deemed sufficient.

3.] The grant of subventions out of general revenues to private individuals for works undertaken to gratify their own individual & religious sentiments is principally unsound & irregular.

18/4

8 v VII)

~~Several Dr. Basilios to Dewan~~

XH F

A Copy of Govt order No. 259-68/M7-108-92 of 15.12.92 is submitted. The memorandum of the Murzai Instn reprinted in the leading portion of this order enunciates the principle ~~which has been~~ guiding in the appropriation of the state grant sanctioned by Govt for the construction & Repairs of Murzai institutions. (Vide paras 4 & 5).

16.4.12

Dewan Thro' 1st Councillor)

313.

18/4/12

4.] I have nothing to change: If the Financial Rules re-

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

GPB—20 000—20 1-13

150 L

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | quire that an order for Wood, shd be passed this year & another next year, I have no objection, though I am not aware of any such rule. 19/4/12 |
| 920 25/4/12 | 73 |
| 31 | In this order - Wood may be issued as a special case. |

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

TPB—20,000—20-1-12

9

*Submitted to His Highness.*

2 These papers relate to the grant of a contribution from the Muzrai Funds towards the construction of Sri Rama Devaru temple in Basavangudi, Bangalore City, by Muthoojee Rao Sindhe and others.

3 2. On the 8th January 1910. Muthojee Rao Sindhe petitioned that he had collected a sum of Rs.2,000 for the construction of a Ramadevaru temple at Basavangudi and prayed that a contribution of an equal amount may be made from State funds towards the construction of the temple. The site was inspected by Mr. Nanjundayya (Second Councillor) about the middle of March and he was of opinion that the temple was in a good place and deserved Government help-
1 vide his note dated 19th March 1910. On ~~the~~ further consultation with the Muzrai Superintendent he recommended that Rs.2,500 may be sanctioned. As funds were however not available, I ordered under date 29th August 1910 that a reply may be given that as funds were not available that year the application may be renewed that time next year if so advised.

34 3. A petition was again submitted by Muthojee Rao Sindhe on 1st March 1911 for the grant of a contribution

for the purpose. The Muzrai Superintendent inspected the temple on 1st December 1911 and noted that there had been much progress and that an amount of Rs.1,000 has been reserved for payment to Muthojee Rao as an instalment of the contribution for the current year, if sanctioned.

4. I doubted whether we can afford to spend the general taxpayer's money in this way on new institutions and called for the opinion of the Financial Department.

5. The Financial Secretary was of opinion that no grants for new buildings should be included, the maintenance of the existing ones being deemed sufficient and that the grant of such subventions was financially unsound and irregular. But the heading in the budget is, as pointed out by the Department, 'construction and repairs' and there appears therefore to be no financial objection as such to the grant of such subventions for construction purposes.

6. Both the Councillors are of opinion that a contribution of Rs.2,000 may be made in this case. I am personally against grants of the kind for new temples which cannot command the respect and reverance as ancient temples which will require all the money we can set apart for the purpose. But we have had precedents in the Bangalore City where contributions have been made for the construction of new temples. It is not unlikely that Muthojee

(1)

Rao Sindhe and others have been encouraged to embark upon this large enterprise on the belief that Government will come to their assistance. It is estimated that the building will cost when completed Rs.6,400.

7. Under these circumstances, I would agree with the Councillors and recommend as a special case the sanction of Rs.2,000 as a contribution from State funds towards the construction of this temple. The amount if sanctioned will be paid in two instalments, Rs.1,000 being immediately payable from the budget provision of the current year and the balance of Rs.1,000 being payable from the budget grant of the next year on satisfactory progress in the work being reported.

T. Chandra Rao
10 May 52

HRR

1

Record of cases submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

SUBJECT.

Grant of a Government contribution towards the construction of Sri Rama Devaru temple in Basavngudi, Bangalore, by merchants Muthojee Rao and others.

10.5.12
247

717
73

ORDER.

Sanctioned
~~KRW~~
15/5/12

Secy
Hd
Hd Asst 12
VII
CSR
18/5/12
Dy
15/5/12
Hm
19/5/12
19/5
CSR

1504

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mst File No. 539 of 1910

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | 4[illegible] May the draft order approved by the Councilors be issued ?<br>RG<br>21/5/12 [illegible] Issue<br>hm<br>21.5.12. |
| 2 | To<br>The Dy commr Bangalore City<br>Comptroller by wire<br>& Mr. Muthoji Rao Surthe<br>No. 2869-71 Dy 23.5.1912<br>Under the circumstances represented by the petrs Sanctions, as a special case, a sum of Rs 2000/- for the purpose the half of it being met from the State funds of this year & the other half from the State funds of next year |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D 1504

1910. 539-10-1

No. 1770

Name of Petitioner— Muthappa Rai

Village— Bangalore

Taluk— —— do ——

District— —— do ——

Date of Arzi— 1st March

Date of Receipt— —— do ——

Date of Disposal—

No. of Enclosure—

ABSTRACT.

Repeats his application for a Govt. grant-in-aid towards the Construction of the Sri Ramanadeswara temple in the Basavanagudi Extension, Bangalore City

BR
1.3.11

for favourable orders

BR
7/3/11

6/3/11.

Chitrapur note

Noted for consideration with the application from the Secy. Manager to whom case will be applicable

BR
8/3/11

BR
13/3/1911

BR
13/3

No 2770

To,

Presented in person 1.3.11.

T. Ananda Rao, Esquire, B.A., C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Most Respected Sir,

I beg to be permitted to approach you with these few lines in pursuance of the orders -- conveyed to me in Endorsement No.936/Muz 448-09-7 dated 18th October 1910 in the matter of a grant for the completion of the Sri Ramadevaru Temple at the Basavanagudi - Extension.

I beg to bring to your kind notice that I approached the Government more than once in the matter of a grant. Up to the present I have expended more than Rs.3,000/- on the construction of the work and another Rs.3,000/- has to be spent upon the construction to complete the same.

My prayer for a Government-aid has not as yet received favourable consideration. When last year I urged the matter, the Government were pleased to say that funds were not then available, and that I might renew the application in February next, and I have therefore taken the liberty of doing so now.

I respectfully beg that, after perusal of previous papers, you will be so good as to order a -- Government grant of Rs.3,000/- or atleast Rs.2,000/- for

the

the work as already promised to enable the completion of the work of construction.

Although the work has not been completed yet the consecration ceremonies will have to be performed in April or May Next for went of better and more auspicious time in future for the performance of the same and the necessary arrangements for the said ceremonies have been made so that the same will be performed in the month of April or -- May 1911.

For which act of kindness and charity, I shall feel highly grateful.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore.
1st March 1911.

Sd. Muthoji Rao Sindhe,
Bangalore City.

24-5 (3 13"

11
1

G.R.S. 28.]

File No 539-10

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 1504

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, the 19

Date of issue 23. 5. 1912

Signed by for R Ramaswamaiya

READ—

Petition dt 1st March 1911 from Muthojee Row Surthe of Bangalore, praying for monetary help from Govt towards the construction of the Sri Ramadevaru Devasthanam in the Basavangudi Extension Bangalore &c.

Order passed

No 2869-71 Enq file
Mins of 539-10

D 23. 5. 1912

Mr Muthojee Rao Surthe of Bangalore ~~over~~

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000 -19 4 10

has undertaken the construction of a temple dedicated to Sri Rama Devaru as an addition to the Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple in the Basavanagudi Extension. The cost of construction amounts to Rs 6560/- as per estimate sent by the petitioner and subsequently checked & revised by the P.W. Dept. The applicant states that he has already spent more than Rs 3000/- on the building and prays that a Govt grant of at least Rs 2000/- be sanctioned towards the completion of the work.

In these circumstances Govt are pleased to sanction, as a special case, a grant of Rs 2000/- towards the construction of the temple, one half of this amount being met from the State of this year & the other grant

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Rs 5000 per sett

The plan & estimate submitted by the petitioner & revised by the P. W. Dept are herewith forwarded to the Dy Comr. under whose Supervision the work should be done & completed.

11/12/11

For Offg. Secretary

12.10.11.

To

The Dy Comr. Bangalore D.

(With plan & estimate)

with reference to his M.S. No. 84 dt 30th June 1910.

The Comptroller to Govt & Mr Muthoojee Rao Sirohe

With Copies of revised estimate

12/8/12

Dy Regt (139) 11/10/11

12/5/12

MGCPB—30,000—5-4 05.